



# ICLG

The International Comparative Legal Guide to:

## Corporate Tax 2019

**15th Edition**

A practical cross-border insight into corporate tax work

Published by Global Legal Group, with contributions from:

Blackwood & Stone LP  
Boga & Associates  
Braekhus Advokatfirm DA  
Carey

Eric Silwamba, Jalasi and Linyama Legal Practitioners  
Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP

Greenwoods & Herbert Smith Freehills

GSK Stockmann

Houthoff

Lenz & Staehelin

LEX Law Offices

Maples and Calder

Marval, O'Farrell & Mairal

Mul & Co

Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu

Nithya Partners

Noerr LLP

Puri Bracco Lenzi e Associati

Rui Bai Law Firm

Sameta

Schindler Attorneys

Sele Frommelt & Partners Attorneys at Law Ltd.

Slaughter and May

SMPS Legal

Stavropoulos & Partners Law Office

T. P. Ostwal & Associates LLP, Chartered Accountants

Tirard, Naudin

Totalserve Management Limited

Utumi Advogados

Vivien Teu & Co LLP

Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz

Waselius & Wist

WH Partners

Wong & Partners



global legal group

**Contributing Editor**

William Watson,  
Slaughter and May

**Sales Director**

Florjan Osmani

**Account Director**

Oliver Smith

**Sales Support Manager**

Toni Hayward

**Sub Editor**

Jenna Feasey

**Senior Editors**

Suzie Levy  
Caroline Collingwood

**CEO**

Dror Levy

**Group Consulting Editor**

Alan Falach

**Publisher**

Rory Smith

**Published by**

Global Legal Group Ltd.  
59 Tanner Street  
London SE1 3PL, UK  
Tel: +44 20 7367 0720  
Fax: +44 20 7407 5255  
Email: info@glgroup.co.uk  
URL: www.glgroup.co.uk

**GLG Cover Design**

F&F Studio Design

**GLG Cover Image Source**

iStockphoto

**Printed by**

Ashford Colour Press Ltd  
November 2018

Copyright © 2018

Global Legal Group Ltd.  
All rights reserved  
No photocopying

ISBN 978-1-912509-43-0

ISSN 1743-3371

**Strategic Partners**



**General Chapters:**

1	<b>Fiscal State Aid – Some Limits Emerging at Last?</b> – William Watson, Slaughter and May	1
2	<b>Taxing the Digital Economy</b> – Sandy Bhogal & Panayiota Burquier, Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP	9

**Country Question and Answer Chapters:**

3	<b>Albania</b>	Boga & Associates: Genc Boga & Alketa Uruçi	15
4	<b>Argentina</b>	Marval, O'Farrell & Mairal: Walter C. Keiniger & María Inés Brandt	21
5	<b>Australia</b>	Greenwoods & Herbert Smith Freehills: Richard Hendriks & Cameron Blackwood	28
6	<b>Austria</b>	Schindler Attorneys: Clemens Philipp Schindler & Martina Gatterer	37
7	<b>Brazil</b>	Utumi Advogados: Ana Claudia Akie Utumi	46
8	<b>Chile</b>	Carey: Jessica Power & Ximena Silberman	52
9	<b>China</b>	Rui Bai Law Firm: Wen Qin	58
10	<b>Cyprus</b>	Totalserve Management Limited: Petros Rialas & Marios Yenagrites	64
11	<b>Finland</b>	Waselius & Wist: Niklas Thibblin & Mona Numminen	71
12	<b>France</b>	Tirard, Naudin: Maryse Naudin	77
13	<b>Germany</b>	Noerr LLP: Dr. Martin Haisch & Dr. Carsten Heinz	86
14	<b>Greece</b>	Stavropoulos & Partners Law Office: Ioannis Stavropoulos & Aimilia Stavropoulou	92
15	<b>Hong Kong</b>	Vivien Teu & Co LLP : Vivien Teu & Kenneth Yim	99
16	<b>Iceland</b>	LEX Law Offices: Garðar Viðir Gunnarsson & Guðrún Lilja Sigurðardóttir	106
17	<b>India</b>	T. P. Ostwal & Associates LLP, Chartered Accountants: T. P. Ostwal & Siddharth Banwat	112
18	<b>Indonesia</b>	Mul & Co: Mulyono	120
19	<b>Ireland</b>	Maples and Calder: Andrew Quinn & David Burke	128
20	<b>Italy</b>	Puri Bracco Lenzi e Associati: Guido Lenzi & Pietro Bracco, Ph.D.	135
21	<b>Japan</b>	Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu: Shigeki Minami	142
22	<b>Kosovo</b>	Boga & Associates: Genc Boga & Alketa Uruçi	151
23	<b>Liechtenstein</b>	Sele Frommelt & Partners Attorneys at Law Ltd.: Heinz Frommelt	156
24	<b>Luxembourg</b>	GSK Stockmann: Mathilde Ostertag & Katarzyna Chmiel	163
25	<b>Malaysia</b>	Wong & Partners: Yvonne Beh	171
26	<b>Malta</b>	WH Partners: Ramona Azzopardi & Sonia Brahmi	177
27	<b>Mexico</b>	SMPS Legal: Ana Paula Pardo Lelo de Larrea & Alexis Michel	183
28	<b>Netherlands</b>	Houthoff: Paulus Merks & Wieger Kop	190
29	<b>Nigeria</b>	Blackwood & Stone LP: Kelechi Ugbeva	196
30	<b>Norway</b>	Braekhus Advokatfirm DA: Toralv Follestad & Charlotte Holmedal Gjelstad	201
31	<b>Russia</b>	Sameta: Sofia Kriulina	207
32	<b>Sri Lanka</b>	Nithya Partners: Naomal Goonewardena & Savini Tissera	213
33	<b>Switzerland</b>	Lenz & Staehelin: Pascal Hinny & Jean-Blaise Eckert	219
34	<b>United Kingdom</b>	Slaughter and May: Zoe Andrews & William Watson	229
35	<b>USA</b>	Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz: Jodi J. Schwartz & Swift S.O. Edgar	238
36	<b>Zambia</b>	Eric Silwamba, Jalasi and Linyama Legal Practitioners: Joseph Alexander Jalasi & Mailesi Undi	247

Further copies of this book and others in the series can be ordered from the publisher. Please call +44 20 7367 0720

**Disclaimer**

This publication is for general information purposes only. It does not purport to provide comprehensive full legal or other advice. Global Legal Group Ltd. and the contributors accept no responsibility for losses that may arise from reliance upon information contained in this publication. This publication is intended to give an indication of legal issues upon which you may need advice. Full legal advice should be taken from a qualified professional when dealing with specific situations.

---

## EDITORIAL

---

Welcome to the fifteenth edition of *The International Comparative Legal Guide to: Corporate Tax*.

This guide provides corporate counsel and international practitioners with a comprehensive worldwide legal analysis of the laws and regulations of corporate tax

It is divided into two main sections:

Two general chapters, offering an insight into tax and state aid, and tax in relation to the digital economy.

Country question and answer chapters. These provide a broad overview of common issues in corporate tax laws and regulations in 34 jurisdictions.

All chapters are written by leading corporate tax lawyers and industry specialists and we are extremely grateful for their excellent contributions.

Special thanks are reserved for the contributing editor William Watson of Slaughter and May for his invaluable assistance.

Global Legal Group hopes that you find this guide practical and interesting.

The *International Comparative Legal Guide* series is also available online at [www.iclg.com](http://www.iclg.com).

Alan Falach LL.M.  
Group Consulting Editor  
Global Legal Group  
[Alan.Falach@glgroup.co.uk](mailto:Alan.Falach@glgroup.co.uk)

---

# Finland

Niklas Thibblin



Mona Numminen



Waselius & Wist

## 1 Tax Treaties and Residence

### 1.1 How many income tax treaties are currently in force in your jurisdiction?

Finland has a fairly extensive treaty network, with approximately 74 income tax treaties currently in force.

### 1.2 Do they generally follow the OECD Model Convention or another model?

Finnish tax treaties generally follow the OECD model, with some inevitable variation from one treaty to the next.

### 1.3 Do treaties have to be incorporated into domestic law before they take effect?

Yes. A tax treaty must be incorporated into Finnish law and this is done by way of a statutory instrument by Parliament.

### 1.4 Do they generally incorporate anti-treaty shopping rules (or “limitation on benefits” articles)?

In general, Finnish tax treaties do not incorporate anti-treaty shopping rules. However, the treaty with the US contains a “limitation of benefits” clause and the treaties with the UK and Ireland contain a “limitation of relief” clause. Pursuant to case law, domestic anti-avoidance rules can be applied in case of artificial cross-border arrangements.

### 1.5 Are treaties overridden by any rules of domestic law (whether existing when the treaty takes effect or introduced subsequently)?

No, but the Finnish general and special anti-avoidance rules (the “GAAR” and the “SAARs”, discussed in question 9.1 below) can, in principle, apply if there are abusive arrangements seeking to exploit particular provisions in a double tax treaty, or the way in which such provisions interact with other provisions of Finnish tax law.

### 1.6 What is the test in domestic law for determining the residence of a company?

A company which is incorporated in Finland will automatically

be resident in Finland. Unlike in some jurisdictions, a company incorporated outside Finland may not be considered resident in Finland by applying the concept of effective place of management (although it could create a permanent establishment in Finland).

## 2 Transaction Taxes

### 2.1 Are there any documentary taxes in your jurisdiction?

Please see question 2.6 below regarding transfer tax.

### 2.2 Do you have Value Added Tax (or a similar tax)? If so, at what rate or rates?

Finnish VAT legislation gives effect to the relevant EC Directives.

There are three rates of VAT:

- the standard rate of VAT is 24% and applies to any supply of goods or services which is not exempt or subject to the reduced rate of VAT;
- the reduced rates of VAT are 14% (e.g. foodstuff and restaurant and catering services); and
- 10% (e.g. passenger transportation, hotel services, theatre, sporting events, medicine and books).

### 2.3 Is VAT (or any similar tax) charged on all transactions or are there any relevant exclusions?

The exclusions from VAT are as permitted or required by the Directive on the Common System of VAT (2006/112EC) (as amended), and some examples of exempt supplies are:

- the sale and letting of real estate (however, a lessor of real estate may opt for VAT);
- medical services;
- educational services;
- insurance services; and
- banking and other financial services.

### 2.4 Is it always fully recoverable by all businesses? If not, what are the relevant restrictions?

When goods and services are supplied for a business subject to VAT, input VAT is fully recoverable. If only a part of business is subject to VAT, only the VAT related to this business is recoverable. Certain

goods or services used for entertainment purposes are, however, excluded from the general right of deduction.

### 2.5 Does your jurisdiction permit VAT grouping and, if so, is it “establishment only” VAT grouping, such as that applied by Sweden in the *Skandia* case?

Yes. Finance and insurance companies may opt for VAT grouping. The registration is made in the name of the “representative member”, who is responsible for completing and submitting a single VAT return and making VAT payments or receiving VAT refunds on behalf of the group. All members of the group remain jointly and severally liable for any VAT debts of the group. A Finnish branch must generally treat its supplies to the overseas head office as taxable supplies in circumstances where the overseas head office is VAT-grouped in its jurisdiction and the branch does not belong to the VAT group.

### 2.6 Are there any other transaction taxes payable by companies?

Transfer of shares in **Finnish** companies and real property located in Finland is subject to transfer tax. The rate of transfer tax is 4% of the purchase price of real property, 1.6% of the purchase price of the shares in an ordinary limited liability company and 2% of the purchase price of the shares in a real estate company (including real estate holding companies and housing companies). The transfer tax base also includes any debt or liabilities of the acquired entity (towards the seller or a third party) assumed by the buyer based on the transfer agreement, provided that the assumption of such debt or liabilities accrues to the benefit of the seller.

### 2.7 Are there any other indirect taxes of which we should be aware?

Customs duties are generally payable on goods imported from outside the EU. Excise duties are levied on **particular** classes of goods (e.g. alcohol, tobacco, electricity and fuel). Insurance premium tax is charged on the receipt of a premium by an insurer under a taxable insurance contract.

## 3 Cross-border Payments

### 3.1 Is any withholding tax imposed on dividends paid by a locally resident company to a non-resident?

Dividends paid by a Finnish company to non-residents are, in principle, subject to Finnish withholding tax of either 20% or 30%. However, in reality, such withholding is prevented or reduced by the provisions of the EC Parent-Subsidiary Directive (90/435/EEC) or an applicable tax treaty. Under most tax treaties, the withholding tax rate is usually reduced to 0–15% on dividends paid to persons entitled to the treaty benefits.

Further, dividends paid to a recipient residing in an EEA Member State are also exempt from tax to the extent that a Finnish recipient would, under corresponding circumstances, be partly or fully exempt from tax. This can grant an exemption from withholding tax (for example, for certain foreign investment funds or charitable entities). The withholding tax relief is based on EU law and may give foreign investors the right to a retroactive tax refund claim.

### 3.2 Would there be any withholding tax on royalties paid by a local company to a non-resident?

Royalties paid to a non-resident are subject to a withholding tax at the rate of either 20% or 30%, unless tax treaty provisions or the EC Interest and Royalty Directive (2003/49/EC) reduce or prevent taxation in Finland. Royalties paid to a Finnish permanent establishment of a non-resident company are taxed as income of the permanent establishment and no withholding tax is levied.

### 3.3 Would there be any withholding tax on interest paid by a local company to a non-resident?

According to domestic Finnish tax laws, interest payments to a non-resident are normally exempt from tax in Finland.

### 3.4 Would relief for interest so paid be restricted by reference to “thin capitalisation” rules?

Finland has no thin capitalisation rules, but currently the deductibility of interest expenses between related parties is limited under a separate regime. Under the interest limitation regime, interest expenses are fully deductible against any interest income. The potential restriction of any interest exceeding the interest income (i.e. net interest expenses) depends on three tests that are applied on a stand-alone Finnish company level:

1. Net interest expenses may be fully deducted if the total amount of net interest expenses does not exceed EUR 500,000 during the fiscal year.
2. Where the above limit is exceeded, net interest may only be deducted up to an amount equal to 25% of the taxable business profits before interests and depreciations. Received and paid group contributions are taken into account in the calculation of the taxable business profits. Any amount of interest so restricted may be carried forward indefinitely and deducted against unused capacity in later years.
3. To the extent that interest is paid to a non-related party, it can be deducted even when exceeding the above limits. However, interest expenses paid to a non-related party are taken into account when calculating the above EUR 500,000 and 25% limits. Therefore, interest expenses paid to a non-related party are considered first and, provided that the deduction capacity under the 25% rule is not exhausted, the remaining amount can be used to deduct interest expenses paid to a related party.

Please note that the Finnish interest limitation rules shall be amended, effective as of 1 January 2019. Pursuant to a very recent Government Bill, the Finnish interest limitation rules will be extended to also cover third-party debt – subject, however, to a EUR 3,000,000 threshold that would always be deductible.

### 3.5 If so, is there a “safe harbour” by reference to which tax relief is assured?

At the moment, yes. The so-called “safe harbour” rule stipulates that the restrictions on interest deductibility are not applied if the borrower company’s equity ratio (equity vs total balance) is equal to or higher than the same ratio calculated on the basis of a consolidated group of balance sheets of the ultimate parent (“balance sheet test”). The balance sheet test can only be applied to consolidated balance sheets that have been prepared in an EU or EEA Member State or a state with which Finland has concluded a tax treaty.

### 3.6 Would any such rules extend to debt advanced by a third party but guaranteed by a parent company?

Yes. Third-party debt may be reclassified as a related-party debt; for instance, in circumstances where the third-party debt is secured by a receivable of a related party.

### 3.7 Are there any other restrictions on tax relief for interest payments by a local company to a non-resident?

In addition to general transfer pricing rules (see question 3.9 below), the Finnish GAAR may be applied in respect of arrangements that do not correspond to their actual purpose and meaning, which have as their main purpose the securing of a tax advantage.

### 3.8 Is there any withholding tax on property rental payments made to non-residents?

Rents paid to a non-resident are considered Finnish-source income and such income must normally be declared in Finland in accordance with the ordinary tax assessment procedure (and taxed accordingly).

### 3.9 Does your jurisdiction have transfer pricing rules?

Yes. Finnish transfer pricing rules apply to both cross-border and domestic transactions between related parties. If the Finnish tax authorities do not accept that pricing is at arm's length, the applied pricing can be challenged under transfer pricing adjustment rules.

## 4 Tax on Business Operations: General

### 4.1 What is the headline rate of tax on corporate profits?

The corporate income tax rate is currently 20%. There are no planned reductions at the moment, although Finland will closely monitor the changes in other developed and neighbouring countries.

### 4.2 Is the tax base accounting profit subject to adjustments, or something else?

In general terms, tax follows the commercial accounts subject to adjustments.

### 4.3 If the tax base is accounting profit subject to adjustments, what are the main adjustments?

Certain expenses are not deductible for tax purposes and there are certain differences between the depreciation of assets for accounting and tax purposes; for instance, concerning machinery and buildings. There are also some tax-free income items such as tax-free capital gains (see question 5.2 below) and dividends.

### 4.4 Are there any tax grouping rules? Do these allow for relief in your jurisdiction for losses of overseas subsidiaries?

The concept of consolidated income tax returns is unknown in Finland. However, under the group contribution regime, group contributions between two Finnish-resident companies or permanent

establishments are deductible, provided that certain preconditions are met. A group contribution is similarly taxable income for the receiving entity. The group contribution regime does not allow cross-border loss relief.

### 4.5 Do tax losses survive a change of ownership?

When more than 50% of shares in a company or its immediate parent company change ownership during a tax year, the right to carry forward tax losses from that year and previous years is forfeited. The tax authorities may grant a dispensation to allow the utilisation of forfeited tax losses.

### 4.6 Is tax imposed at a different rate upon distributed, as opposed to retained, profits?

No, it is not.

### 4.7 Are companies subject to any significant taxes not covered elsewhere in this chapter – e.g. tax on the occupation of property?

The owner of real estate is required to pay real estate tax equal to a fixed percentage of the calculated value of real estate (i.e. the land area) and the buildings located thereon. The real estate tax value differs, as such, from the tax base value, the book value and the market value of the real estate and the buildings. The rate of real estate tax is set by the municipality in which the real estate is located. However, the minimum and maximum statutory tax rates that the municipalities may apply vary between 0.41% and 6%.

## 5 Capital Gains

### 5.1 Is there a special set of rules for taxing capital gains and losses?

Corporation tax is chargeable on “profits”, which includes both regular business income and capital gains. There is, however, a separate regime for computing certain capital gains. In circumstances where the participation exemption does not apply, capital losses can only be used against capital gains and not against regular business income.

### 5.2 Is there a participation exemption for capital gains?

Yes. The participation exemption regime allows business-conducting companies to dispose of certain shares without a Finnish tax charge. Capital gains realised by a Finnish company on the sale of shares are tax-exempt provided that:

- (i) the shares belong to the selling company's fixed assets and the shareholding is deemed to be a part of the seller's business income source (in comparison to the general income source);
- (ii) the selling company owns at least 10% of the capital of the company being sold;
- (iii) the selling company has held such participation for at least one year; and
- (iv) the disposed shares are not shares in a housing or real estate company.

The company whose shares are sold must, furthermore, reside in Finland, in another EU Member State or in a country with which Finland has concluded a tax treaty. Further, private equity investors

may not benefit from the participation exemption. Where the participation exemption regime applies, any losses incurred from the disposal are non-deductible.

### 5.3 Is there any special relief for reinvestment?

It is possible to make a deduction in relation to (i) insurance compensation received due to the destruction of fixed assets if the new assets are acquired or the old ones are repaired within two years, or (ii) sold business premises if new premises are acquired within two years.

### 5.4 Does your jurisdiction impose withholding tax on the proceeds of selling a direct or indirect interest in local assets/shares?

No withholding tax is imposed. However, please see question 8.1 below.

## 6 Local Branch or Subsidiary?

### 6.1 What taxes (e.g. capital duty) would be imposed upon the formation of a subsidiary?

There are no taxes imposed on the formation of a subsidiary.

### 6.2 Is there a difference between the taxation of a local subsidiary and a local branch of a non-resident company (for example, a branch profits tax)?

A Finnish-resident subsidiary would pay corporate tax on its worldwide income and gains, whereas a Finnish branch (permanent establishment) would be liable to corporation tax only on the net profit attributable to the branch. There is no separate branch profit tax.

### 6.3 How would the taxable profits of a local branch be determined in its jurisdiction?

Assuming that the local branch of a non-resident company is within the Finnish statutory definition of a “permanent establishment” (which, in most circumstances, will be decided by the provisions in an applicable tax treaty), it will, at the outset, be treated for tax purposes as though it were a distinct and separate entity dealing independently with the non-resident company. Generally, all branches (permanent establishments) are required to arrange bookkeeping in accordance with Finnish GAAP and are taxed accordingly (subject to certain adjustments).

### 6.4 Would a branch benefit from double tax relief in its jurisdiction?

No, apart from non-discriminatory rules (in the case that the branch forms a permanent establishment).

### 6.5 Would any withholding tax or other similar tax be imposed as the result of a remittance of profits by the branch?

No, it would not.

## 7 Overseas Profits

### 7.1 Does your jurisdiction tax profits earned in overseas branches?

As a general rule, and subject to tax treaty provisions, Finland taxes the profits earned in overseas branches of Finnish-resident companies.

### 7.2 Is tax imposed on the receipt of dividends by a local company from a non-resident company?

Foreign dividends and Finnish dividends are treated in the same way. Dividends from foreign subsidiaries are generally exempt in the hands of a Finnish parent company, whereas portfolio dividends from listed companies are fully taxable if the recipient has an ownership stake of less than 10% in the paying listed entity. Dividends derived from non-tax-treaty countries outside the EU are, however, fully taxable in Finland.

### 7.3 Does your jurisdiction have “controlled foreign company” rules and, if so, when do these apply?

Under the Finnish CFC rules, the CFC’s income tax is taxable, as the shareholders’ income and actual distributions are exempted. A non-resident company controlled by a Finnish tax resident may generally be regarded as a CFC, if the CFC is liable to income tax in its domicile at a rate less than 60% of the effective Finnish corporate income tax rate (meaning generally that the corporate income tax rate applicable to a CFC should currently be 12% or less). The CFC regime does not apply to income of a CFC originating mainly from industrial production or shipping if that activity has occurred in the CFC’s country of origin. In addition, an exemption applies to CFCs in tax treaty countries (subject to certain conditions) and where it is proven, on the basis of objective factors, that despite the existence of a tax motive, the CFC is actually established in an EEA country and carries out genuine economic activities there.

Please note that the Finnish Ministry of Finance has proposed changes to the Finnish CFC legislation taking effect on 1 January 2019. According to the proposal the industry exception and the tax treaty country exception would be abolished and certain other amendments would be made. However, at the date of submitting this chapter, the contents of the amendments are not entirely clear.

## 8 Taxation of Commercial Real Estate

### 8.1 Are non-residents taxed on the disposal of commercial real estate in your jurisdiction?

Capital gains derived from the sale of real property (whether commercial or private) located in Finland, as well as gains derived from the sale of shares in Finnish real estate and housing companies and Finnish limited liability companies, more than 50% of whose assets consist of real estate in Finland, are generally subject to tax in Finland. Tax treaty exemptions may apply to certain share disposals.

### 8.2 Does your jurisdiction impose tax on the transfer of an indirect interest in commercial real estate in your jurisdiction?

Yes, please see question 8.1 above.

### 8.3 Does your jurisdiction have a special tax regime for Real Estate Investment Trusts (REITs) or their equivalent?

Yes. However, the Finnish REIT scheme remains limited to residential housing only. Under the REIT scheme, to benefit from corporate tax exemption, REITs (i.e. Finnish limited liability companies) must be listed on a public stock exchange or a multi-lateral trading facility (“MTF”) within the EEA, with no single shareholder owning, directly or indirectly, more than 9.99% of the share capital. At least 80% of the value of the assets of a REIT must also consist of real estate that is used primarily for residential purposes, and the activities of the REIT must be limited to the letting of properties (or activities closely related thereto). The capital structure of a REIT must furthermore be such that its potential debt financing does not exceed 80% of its balance sheet total. Moreover, the REIT must distribute at least 90% of its annual profits to shareholders as dividends.

## 9 Anti-avoidance and Compliance

### 9.1 Does your jurisdiction have a general anti-avoidance or anti-abuse rule?

Yes. If a transaction has been given a legal form that does not correspond with its actual nature or meaning or if the legal form of the transaction does not correspond to the actual behaviour of the taxpayer, the GAAR or SAARs may be applied and taxes can be reassessed as if the actual form of the transaction had been used. Case law on the application of the GAAR and SAARs has, in several instances, covered scenarios where a series of transactions have been subject to re-characterisation, especially where no adequate commercial reasons have been shown for the transaction.

### 9.2 Is there a requirement to make special disclosure of avoidance schemes?

There is no such requirement at the moment. However, the Ministry of Finance is currently preparing a proposal on the implementation of the EU Directive 2018/822/EU under which certain arrangements would be reportable.

### 9.3 Does your jurisdiction have rules which target not only taxpayers engaging in tax avoidance but also anyone who promotes, enables or facilitates the tax avoidance?

There are no specific rules. However, the Finnish Penal Code includes provisions regarding tax crimes that are also applicable to parties promoting or facilitating tax crime. Mere tax avoidance does not, as such, constitute a tax crime.

### 9.4 Does your jurisdiction encourage “co-operative compliance” and, if so, does this provide procedural benefits only or result in a reduction of tax?

The Finnish Tax Administration has recently promoted the use of so-called “preliminary discussions” for the clients of the Large Taxpayers’ Office, i.e. mainly companies belonging to a larger

group. Preliminary discussions should be carried out prior to any transaction, and the advice given in such discussions is generally binding on the tax authorities. The preliminary discussions do not result in a reduction of tax, but may provide indirect procedural benefits through the “protection of trust” principle. However, if the subject matter is complex or subject to interpretation, there is a lack of case law, or the parties disagree with respect to the tax treatment of the transaction, the taxpayer is normally, in the preliminary discussions, advised to apply for an advance tax ruling from the Finnish Tax Administration.

## 10 BEPS and Tax Competition

### 10.1 Has your jurisdiction introduced any legislation in response to the OECD’s project targeting Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS)?

Yes. An updated provision regarding the contents of transfer pricing documentation and CBCR requirements (see question 10.3) have been introduced. Prior to the introduction of the BEPS project, Finland had already introduced interest deduction limitation rules similar to those in BEPS Action 4 (see questions 3.4, 3.5 and 3.6).

### 10.2 Does your jurisdiction intend to adopt any legislation to tackle BEPS which goes beyond what is recommended in the OECD’s BEPS reports?

In general, no.

### 10.3 Does your jurisdiction support public Country-by-Country Reporting (CBCR)?

Yes. The Finnish CBCR legislation requires multinational enterprises (“MNEs”) to prepare: a master file containing information on all group companies; a local file on material transactions of the Finnish taxpayer; and a CBCR report on, among others, the global allocation of an MNE’s income and taxes.

### 10.4 Does your jurisdiction maintain any preferential tax regimes such as a patent box?

No such regimes currently exist.

## 11 Taxing the Digital Economy

### 11.1 Has your jurisdiction taken any unilateral action to tax digital activities or to expand the tax base to capture digital presence?

No, it has not.

### 11.2 Does your jurisdiction support the European Commission’s interim proposal for a digital services tax?

As a starting point, no. However, global solutions (for example on the OECD level) concerning taxation of digital services are regarded as a positive thing.


**Niklas Thibblin**

Waselius & Wist  
 Eteläesplanadi 24 A  
 00130 Helsinki  
 Finland

*Tel:* +358 9 668 95277  
*Email:* [niklas.thibblin@ww.fi](mailto:niklas.thibblin@ww.fi)  
*URL:* [www.ww.fi](http://www.ww.fi)

Niklas Thibblin is the Managing Partner of Waselius & Wist. He has over 15 years of experience from domestic and cross-border tax matters in complex high-profile cases. He has, for instance, advised in numerous domestic and multi-jurisdictional group restructurings and transactions, as well as tax filings relating to such arrangements. He regularly acts as counsel in proceedings before the Finnish tax authorities and administrative courts, including the Supreme Administrative Court.


**Mona Numminen**

Waselius & Wist  
 Eteläesplanadi 24 A  
 00130 Helsinki  
 Finland

*Tel:* +358 9 668 95211  
*Email:* [mona.numminen@ww.fi](mailto:mona.numminen@ww.fi)  
*URL:* [www.ww.fi](http://www.ww.fi)

Mona Numminen joined Waselius & Wist in 2017 as an Associate Lawyer. Her main practice areas include tax and corporate structuring, mergers and acquisitions, and corporate and commercial law. Mona has previous experience from Roschier Attorneys Ltd., where she worked as an Associate in their tax and structuring practice.

## WASELIUS & WIST

Waselius & Wist renders advice primarily in all areas of domestic and international business taxation, including mergers and acquisitions, restructurings as well as other financial transactions. Many of our assignments have an international dimension. Waselius & Wist's tax team provides strategic tax advice early on in the transactional process, whether domestic or cross-border in nature, to ensure efficient tax structures. In addition to our involvement in the structuring of transactions, we advise on the documentation to implement transactions.

Waselius & Wist's tax team also has substantial experience in assisting clients in administrative proceedings on taxation issues. Waselius & Wist has a well-established tax dispute resolution practice and has successfully represented clients in a wide range of disputes with the tax authorities.

## Current titles in the ICLG series include:

- Alternative Investment Funds
- Anti-Money Laundering
- Aviation Law
- Business Crime
- Cartels & Leniency
- Class & Group Actions
- Competition Litigation
- Construction & Engineering Law
- Copyright
- Corporate Governance
- Corporate Immigration
- Corporate Investigations
- Corporate Recovery & Insolvency
- Corporate Tax
- Cybersecurity
- Data Protection
- Employment & Labour Law
- Enforcement of Foreign Judgments
- Environment & Climate Change Law
- Family Law
- Financial Services Disputes
- Fintech
- Franchise
- Gambling
- Insurance & Reinsurance
- International Arbitration
- Investor-State Arbitration
- Lending & Secured Finance
- Litigation & Dispute Resolution
- Merger Control
- Mergers & Acquisitions
- Mining Law
- Oil & Gas Regulation
- Outsourcing
- Patents
- Pharmaceutical Advertising
- Private Client
- Private Equity
- Product Liability
- Project Finance
- Public Investment Funds
- Public Procurement
- Real Estate
- Securitisation
- Shipping Law
- Telecoms, Media & Internet
- Trade Marks
- Vertical Agreements and Dominant Firms

**glg** global legal group

59 Tanner Street, London SE1 3PL, United Kingdom  
Tel: +44 20 7367 0720 / Fax: +44 20 7407 5255  
Email: [info@glgroup.co.uk](mailto:info@glgroup.co.uk)

[www.iclg.com](http://www.iclg.com)